

# Superior Rectal Artery Embolization with Tris-Acryl Gelatin Microspheres: A Randomized Comparison of Particle Size

Murat Bülent Küçükay, MD, and Fahrettin Küçükay, MD

#### ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** To evaluate the safety and efficacy of superior rectal artery embolization (SRAE) with different-sized tris-acryl gelatin microspheres in symptomatic hemorrhoidal disease (HD).

**Materials and Methods:** Forty-two patients (male, 30; female, 12; median age, 45 years) with symptomatic HD (2 grade I, 8 grade II, 17 grade III, and 15 grade IV) were divided into 3 experimental arms (500–700 µm, 700–900 µm, and 900–1,200 µm groups; each had 14 patients) in a prospective randomized style to perform SRAE. Follow-up was performed by rectoscopy, clinical examination, and questionnaires. The primary outcome measure was the clinical success rate at 12 months. Secondary outcome measures were technical success rate, recurrence rate, procedure-related mortality, procedure-related complications, and any outcome changes between particle sizes.

**Results:** No procedure-related deaths or major morbidities were observed. There was a 54% minor complication rate (n = 23/42) in the treated zone: 45% sustained small superficial ulcerations (n = 19/42), 7% small rectosigmoid junction ulcerations (n = 3/42), and 2% small fibrotic scar tissue (n = 1/42). The clinical success rate was 93%. Of the groups, the best French bleeding score decrease was obtained in the 900–1,200 µm group. There were improvements in the quality of life score and visual analogue scale score after the SRAE procedure, although not in the Goligher score. No recurrent disease was observed.

**Conclusions:** SRAE with tris-acryl gelatin microspheres for symptomatic HD is a safe and efficient treatment, with results favoring the use of larger microspheres.

#### ABBREVIATIONS

CCR = corpus cavernosum recti, FBS = French bleeding score, GPS = Goligher prolapse score, HD = hemorrhoidal disease, MDCTA = multidetector computed tomography angiography, MRECA = middle rectal artery, PVA = polyvinyl alcohol, QOLS = quality of life score, SRA = superior rectal artery, SRAE = superior rectal artery embolization, VAS = visual analogue scale

## INTRODUCTION

Hemorrhoidal disease (HD) is a major cause of discomforting symptoms in patients worldwide. However, the causes and pathophysiology of HD are not well established. There are several treatment strategies for symptomatic HD;

Neither of the authors has identified a conflict of interest.

© SIR, 2021

J Vasc Interv Radiol 2021; 32:819-825

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvir.2021.02.011

however, the unity and consensus on the treatment and classifications of different stages of HD are under discussion (1-9).

One of the main studies about the underlying pathology was reported by Aigner et al, who demonstrated the vascular nature of hemorrhoids (10). The increased caliber and arterial blood flow in the terminal branches of the superior rectal artery (SRA) were correlated with the appearance of hemorrhoids. Doppler-guided transanal hemorrhoidal dearterialization is the premise of the superior rectal artery embolization (SRAE) method (8).

SRAE with coils was described in earlier studies, especially by Vidal et al (11–19). This technique was termed the "emborrhoid" technique. The emborrhoid technique was a safe and effective treatment method for symptomatic HD in previous experimental animal studies and human clinical trials. A total of 60%–80% of patients demonstrated symptoms

From the Department of Internal Medicine (M.B.K.), Lokman Hekim University Hospital, Sincan, Ankara, Turkey; and Department of Interventional Radiology (F.K.), Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Eskisehir, Turkey. Received August 28, 2020; final revision received February 9, 2021; accepted February 14, 2021. Address correspondence to F.K., Department of Interventional Radiology, Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Büyükdere, Eskisehir, Turkey; E-mail: fkucuka@hotmail.com

#### **RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS**

- A randomized prospective trial comparing different sizes of embolic microspheres without the use of coils was performed on superior rectal artery embolization (SRAE) for symptomatic hemorrhoids.
- SRAE achieved 93% clinical success in decreasing bleeding, relieving pain, and improving quality of life in 1 year follow up, with no early recurrences.
- Smallest microspheres (500-700 μm) resulted in earliest decrease in bleeding and pain, but largest microspheres (900-1200 μm) showed the greatest improvements at 1 year.
- Post-embolization pain, self-limited superficial rectal and rectosigmoid ulcerations, and late small fibrotic scars were more frequently encountered after treatment by smallest microspheres, suggesting greater ischemia.

resolution during follow-up. The 30% recurrence rate and the need for reinterventions are still salient issues (11–19).

SRAE with particles only is an emerging concept for the embolization of the SRAs. Combining synthetic polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) particles of 300  $\mu$ m in size with metallic coils was more effective than the coils-only treatment described in a previous study (14). PVA embolization of the distal rectal arteries first and embolization of the SRA trunk with metallic coils after yielded better general patient

#### **STUDY DETAILS**

Study type: prospective, clinical, randomized controlled trial

Study phase: 3

satisfaction rates, varying between 83% and 94%, than those reported in previous studies (11–19). In another study, tris-acryl gelatin embolization with 300–500-µm particles in addition to 2–3-mm metallic coils resulted in no significant difference compared with the coil-only group, with an overall clinical success rate of 68% (19). In a recent retrospective study, the particle only embolization (300–500 µm tris-acryl gelatin microspheres) showed a 96.9% clinical success rate without any major or minor complications (20). The current randomized prospective study aimed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of different-sized microspherical trisacryl gelatin microspheres for symptomatic HD.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

This randomized prospective study was registered with ClinicalTrials.gov in November 2018. The study was completed after the last patient's 12-month follow-up. It was approved by the local ethics committee, and informed consent was obtained from all patients. A flowchart of the study is summarized in Figure 1. Forty-two patients with a



Figure 1. Flowchart of the study.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Lokman Hekim University from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on February 28, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

median age of 45 (range, 18–72) years were prospectively recruited into the study.

#### Patients

The following were inclusion criteria: (*i*) symptomatic HD; (*ii*) refusal of or contraindication for surgery; (*iii*) patients who refused other interventional methods; (*iv*) ability to give written informed consent and to comply with the follow-up protocol; (*v*) bleeding due to hemorrhoids with any grade (I, II, III, or IV); (*vi*) patients who had previous physical and colonoscopy examinations for proof of rectal bleeding; and (*vii*) patients who had a dominant SRA as seen or identified in a pre-procedural multidetector computed tomography angiography (MDCTA).

The following were exclusion criteria: (*i*) asymptomatic patients; (*ii*) patients who had previous interventions; (*iii*) patients who had colorectal diseases other than hemorrhoids; (*iv*) anal stenosis; (*v*) patients with rectal prolapse; (*vi*) pregnancy; (*vii*) patients with contraindications for technical steps or contrast medium use; (*viii*) inability to give written informed consent; (*ix*) a dominant bilateral middle rectal artery (MRECA) branch feeding the corpus cavernosum recti (CCR) identified on MDCTA.

#### Design

The allocation was randomized. The intervention model was a parallel assignment (a blocked, randomized, single-blind [the physician who performed the embolization procedures did not know the particle size], prospective study). The masking was triple (participant, care provider [the physician who performed the embolization procedures], and outcomes assessor). There was insufficient prior information to calculate an adequately powered sample size. Therefore, the study was conducted as a pilot study (21). The patients were divided into 3 experimental arms, with each arm consisting of 14 patients (group 1 [500-700 µm] consisting of 11 males and 3 females; group 2 [700–900 µm] with 8 males and 6 females; and group 3 (900–1,200  $\mu$ m) with 11 males and 3 females [P > .05]; median age, 45 [range, 18–72] years; the age of the patients did not significantly differ, P > .05). The severity of symptoms due to HD before and after the intervention (1-, 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-ups) were obtained from several questionnaire forms that were defined in detail in previous articles (12–14). These include the French bleeding score (FBS), visual analogue scale (VAS), quality of life score (QOLS), and Goligher prolapse score (GPS).

Technical success was defined as the successful embolization of all SRA branches that feed the CCR. Clinical success was defined as at least a 2-point decrease in the FBS at the 12-month follow-up, as described in previous articles (12,14).

Recurrence was defined as any recanalization of previous embolized SRA branches or any collateral feeding to the CCR after embolization. Recurrence was suspected in patients without an improvement of symptoms, such as worsening of bleeding after the embolization procedure. These patients were examined with MDCTA.

## **Embolization Technique**

All procedures were performed by a single interventional radiologist with 15 years of experience in performing embolizations. This single operator had performed >40 hemorrhoidal embolization procedures with coils and particles prior to the initiation of this study. Before the embolization, a detailed analysis of MDCTA images was performed. The origins of the inferior mesenteric artery, SRA branches, and CCR were noted. Using standard digital subtraction angiography techniques, a 2.7-F microcatheter (Embocath Plus; Merit Medical, Salt Lake City, Utah) was advanced through a 5-F hydrophilic catheter (Glidecath; Terumo, Tokyo, Japan) with the help of a 0.018-inch guidewire (Tenor steerable guidewire; Merit Medical, Salt Lake City, Utah) proximal to the branching of the SRA. Embolization procedures were performed using microspherical tris-acryl gelatin microspheres (Embosphere; Merit Medical), 500-700, 700-900, and 900-1,200 µm in size. Each 2-mL syringe of the embospheres was diluted with 2 mL of undiluted contrast agent (Iodixanol; Visipaque, Amersham Health, Amersham, United Kingdom) and further suspended in a 20-mL syringe to yield a 50% Embosphere microsphere and a 50% contrast agent solution as described by the manufacturer. A 5-mL syringe was attached with a 3-way stopcock to a 20-mL syringe and the suspension was mixed gently. The particles were injected from a 5-mL syringe through the microcatheter (Fig 2). Technical success was defined as the occlusion of all SRA target branches at an imaginary line parallel to the symphysis pubis level, similar to the transanal Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation treatment (22). Stagnation or stasis of contrast agents in this area of up to 3-4 cardiac cycles was defined as the endpoint of a successful embolization. The patients were discharged from the hospital after a 4-hour observation period. Immediate complications were noted.

#### Follow-Up

The patients were assessed by an internal medicine physician who was unaware of the size of the particles used after the embolization procedure. Follow-up was performed at 3 and 6 months with rectoscopic examinations and 1, 3, 6, and 12 months with questionnaires. During the follow-up, procedure-related complications were noted and classified according to the Society of Interventional Radiology guidelines (23).

#### **Outcome Measures**

The primary outcome measure was the clinical success rate at 12 months.

The secondary outcome measures included the technical success, recurrence (re-bleeding due to recanalization or collateralization of the previously embolized rectal arteries), procedure-related mortality, procedure-related complications, and any outcome differences between particle sizes.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Lokman Hekim University from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on February 28, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.



**Figure 2.** (a) A digital subtraction angiography image of the rectal arteries and corpus cavernosum recti arteries (arrows) in a 35-yearold female patient with symptomatic hemorrhoidal disease before embolization. (b) A digital subtraction angiography image of the same patient after embolization

Table 1. Results of the S	Study (Safety)							
	500–700 μ	ım(n = 14)	700–900 µ	700–900 μm (n = 14)		900–1,200 μm (n = 14)		
Procedure-related mortality	0% (r	ר = 0)	0% (1	n = 0)	0% (n = 0)		> .05	
Procedure-related major morbidity	0% (r	ר = 0)	0% (1	0% (n = 0)		‰ (n = 0)	> .05	
After interventional pain	100% (	n = 14)	64.3 %	(n = 9)	14.3	% (n = 2)	< .001	
After interventional tenesmus	85.7% (	(n = 12)	50.0% (n = 7)		85.7% (n = 12)		> .05	
Rectoscopic findings								
Small superficial ulcerations, at third month	Yes 71.4% (n = 10)	No 28.6% (n = 4)	Yes 64.3% (n = 9)	No 35.7% (n = 5)	Yes 0% (n = 0)	No 100.0% (n = 14)	< .001	
Small recto-sigmoid junction ulcerations, at third month	Yes 21.4% (n = 3)	No 79.6% (n = 11)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Yes} \\ \text{0} \ (\text{n}=\text{0}) \end{array}$	No 100% (n = 14)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Yes} \\ \text{0} \ (\text{n}=\text{0}) \end{array}$	No 100% (n = 14)	< .05	
Small fibrotic scars, at sixth month	Yes 7.1% (n = 1)	No 92.9% (n = 13)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Yes} \\ \text{0} \ (\text{n}=\text{0}) \end{array}$	No 100% (n = 14)	Yes 0 (n = 0)	No 100% (n = 14)	> .05	

# **Statistical Analysis**

SPSS version 21 was used for statistical analysis (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York). Data are summarized as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median and interquartile range (Q1; Q3) (number is expressed in %). The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine whether the data followed a normal distribution. The Friedman nonparametric test was used for

comparisons between measurements. Post-hoc multiple comparison tests were used to identify different groups. Independent samples t test (Student's t test) was used to compare the average age of women and men. Pearson's exact chi-square test was used in the analysis of the created cross tables. P < .05 was considered statistically significant.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Lokman Hekim University from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on February 28, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

		500-	700 µm gr	dno			700-	900 µm g	roup			900-1	,200 µm g	Iroup		P value
French Bleeding Score (FBS)FBS	Baseline 3.7±0.3	1.m 2.4± 0.3 P < .001	3.m 2.3± 0.3 P < .001	6. m 1.9±0.3 P < .001	12.m 1.5±0.3 P < .001	Baseline 3.9±0.4	1.m 2.7±0.4 <i>P</i> > .05	3.m 2.6±0.4 P > .05	6. m 2.4±0.5 P < .05	12.m 2.1±0.4 P < .001	Baseline 4.9± 0.3	1.m 3.2± 0.3 P > .05	3.m 2.5±0.3 P > .05	6. m 1.1±0.2 P < .001	12.m 1.1±0.1 P < .001	< .001
Pain (VAS)	Baseline 4.0±0.3	1.m 2.8±0.4 P < .05	3.m 1.9±0.4 P < .001	6. m 1.7±0.4 P < .001	12.m 1.7±0.4 P < .001	Baseline 3.1±0.4	1.m 1.9±0.3 P > .05	3.m 1.5±0.4 P < .05	6. m 1.7±0.5 P > .05	12.m 1.7±0.5 <i>P</i> > .05	Baseline 3.3±0.4	1.m 2.0±0.3 <i>P</i> > .05	3.m 1.3±0.2 P < .001	6. m 1.4±0.2 P < .001	12.m 1.4±0.2 P < .001	<ul><li>.05</li></ul>
OOLS	Baseline 2.5±0.1	1.m 1.8±0.2 P < .001	3.m 1.5±0.2 P < .001	6. m 1.5±0.3 P < .001	12.m 1.1±0.2 P < .001	Baseline 2.6±0.1	1.m 1.8±0.2 <i>P</i> > .05	3.m 1.6±0.3 P < .05	6. m 1.7±0.3 P > .05	12.m 1.5±0.2 P < .05	Baseline 2.9±0.7	1.m 1.9±0.7 P < .05	3.m 1.8±0.1 P < .001	6. m 1.8±0.1 P < .001	12.m 1.4±0.1 P < .001	> .05
Goligher Score GPS	Baseline 2.2±0.1	1.m 1.8±0.1 <i>P</i> > .05	3.m 1.8±0.1 P > .05	6. т 1.9±0.1 <i>P</i> > .05	12.m 1.9±0.1 <i>P</i> > .05	Baseline 2.1±0.1	1.m 1.7±0.2 P > .05	3.m 1.8±0.2 P > .05	6. m 1.8±0.2 P > .05	12.m 1.8±0.2 <i>P</i> > .05	Baseline 2.2±0.1	1.m 2.0±0.0 <i>P</i> > .05	3.m 2.0±0.0 P > .05	6. m 2.0±0.0 <i>P</i> > .05	12.m 2.0±0.0 <i>P</i> > .05	<ul><li>.05</li></ul>

Table 2. Results of the Study (Efficacy)

FBS = French bleeding score; GPS = Goligher prolapse score; QOLS = quality of life score; VAS = visual analogue scale.

RESULTS

The results of the study are summarized in **Tables 1** and **2**. The technical success rate was 100%. All patients were treated as outpatients, and overnight hospitalization was not needed. There were 2 Grade I, 8 Grade II, 17 Grade III, and 15 Grade IV hemorrhoid patients with symptomatic HD.

No procedure-related deaths or major complications were observed (23). The pain rate after the procedure was 100% (n = 14/14) in the 500–700  $\mu$ m group with a median VAS score of 5, 64.3% (n = 9/14) in the 700–900  $\mu$ m group with a median VAS score of 3, and 14.3% (n = 2/14) in the 900-1,200-µm group with a median VAS score of 2. The 900-1,200 µm group had a significantly lower pain rate after the procedure than the other groups (P <.001). The tenesmus rate after the procedure was 85.7% (n = 12/14), 50.0% (n = 7/14), and 85.7% (n = 12/14) in the 500-700 µm, 700-900 µm, and 900-1,200 µm groups, respectively. No significant differences were noted in the tenesmus rates (P > .05). Bleeding after the procedure was reported up to 1 month (median, 2 weeks) after embolization procedures due to hemorrhoidal tissue discharge. The patients emphasized that their bleeding was low pressured and brownish in color, different from hemorrhoidal bleeding, which was high pressured and pinkish in color.

There was a 54% (n = 23/42) minor complication rate in the treated zone: 45% (n = 19/42) small (<5 mm), superficial ulcerations at the embolized area; 7% (n = 3/42) small, rectosigmoid junction ulcerations; and 2% (n = 1/ 42) small, fibrotic scar tissue. Small, superficial ulcerations in the third month were observed during rectoscopy in 45% of the patients (n = 19/42). This was related to microsphere size (n = 10/14 [71.4%] in the 500–700 µm group; n = 9/14 [64.3%] in the 700–900  $\mu$ m group; and n = 0/14 [0%] in the 900–1,200  $\mu$ m group; P < .001). There was no need for medical treatment for these small ulcerations, and all were healed at the 6-month rectoscopy. Small rectosigmoid junction ulcerations at 3 months were observed on rectoscopy in 7% of the patients (n = 3/42) (n = 3/14)[21.4%] in the 500–700 µm group; n = 0/14 [0%] in the 700–900  $\mu$ m group; and n = 0/14 [0%] in the 900–1,200  $\mu$ m group; P < .05). These small ulcerations healed after 6 months with medical treatment using mesalazine 4-g enemas (Salofalk; Vifor AG Zweigniederlassung Medichemie, Ettingen, Switzerland). Small fibrotic scar tissue at 6 months was seen in 2% of the patients (n = 1/42) (n = 1/214 [7.1%] in the treated zone in the 500–700  $\mu$ m group; n = 0/14 [0%] in the 700–900 µm group; and n = 0/14[0%] in the 900–1,200  $\mu$ m group; P < .05). No puncture site-related complications were observed. Nontarget embolization was assumed in patients (n = 3/42, 7%) with small recto-sigmoid junction ulcerations observed in the third month. Pain and tenesmus after the intervention were considered to be expected post-embolization syndrome instead of minor complications.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Lokman Hekim University from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on February 28, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Clinical success was achieved in 39 of 42 patients (93%) at 12 months. In 3 patients, in whom clinical success was not achieved, arterial anatomy consisted of unilateral SRA branch and contralateral dominant MRECA. In these patients, the unilateral SRA branch was embolized, and no further intervention was performed for the MRECA-dominant side.

All 3 groups showed a significant decrease in the FBS at 12 months compared with baseline before embolization (3.7  $\pm$  0.3–1.5  $\pm$  0.3 in the 500–700 µm group, 3.9  $\pm$  0.4–2.1  $\pm$  0.4 in the 700–900 µm group, and 4.9  $\pm$  0.3–1.1  $\pm$  0.2 in the 900–1,200 µm group; P < .001). The FBS significantly decreased in the 500–700 µm group after 1 month (3.7  $\pm$  0.3–2.4  $\pm$  0.3; P < .001). In the other groups, a significant decrease in the FBS was observed 6 months after the treatment (P < .001). At 12 months, the best FBS score decrease was observed in the 900–1,200 µm group among all groups (P < .001).

There was a significant decrease in the VAS score at 12 months in the 500–700 and 900–1,200  $\mu$ m groups (4.0  $\pm$  0.3–1.7  $\pm$  0.4 in the 500–700  $\mu$ m group and 3.3  $\pm$  0.4–1.4  $\pm$  0.2 in the 900–1,200  $\mu$ m group; P < .001). A slight numerical decrease in the VAS score was observed in the 700–900  $\mu$ m group at 12 months, although the difference was not statistically significant (3.1  $\pm$  0.4–1.7  $\pm$  0.5; P > .05). This was not considered recurrence because all other parameters were improved. The onset of significant pain control was achieved at 1, 3, and 6 months in the 500–700  $\mu$ m, 700–900  $\mu$ m, and 900–1,200  $\mu$ m groups, respectively (P < .05). With respect to the VAS score, no advantage was observed between the groups.

The QOLS significantly improved in all groups at 12 months  $(2.5 \pm 0.1-1.1 \pm 0.2$  in the 500–700 µm group [P < .001],  $2.6 \pm 0.1-1.5 \pm 0.2$  in the 700–900 µm group [P < .05], and  $2.9 \pm 0.7-1.4 \pm 0.1$  in the 900–1,200 µm group [P < .001]). The onset of significant QOLS improvement was achieved at 1 month in the 500–700 µm group (P < .05). With respect to the QOLS, no advantage was observed between the groups.

The GPS did not significantly change between the time points and between the groups (P > .05). No recurrent disease was observed in the 12-month period. All 42 patients were assessed at this time point.

## DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that SRAE for symptomatic HD using tris-acryl gelatin microspheres ranging from 500-700, 700-900, and 900-1,200  $\mu$ m diameters is a safe and effective procedure without any mortality or major complications. The clinical success rate was 93%. The earliest significant bleeding control was achieved with 500–700  $\mu$ m particles, and the best bleeding control was achieved with 900–1,200  $\mu$ m particles at 12 months. Considering pain relief, quality of life, and prolapse no group showed a greater advantage over the others.

The technical success rate of the SRAE with coils, particles, and other agents was between 90% and 100% in previous studies (11–20). In this study, the technical success rate was 100%.

Concerning the safety of the SRAE procedure, the current study demonstrated similar results as previous studies (11-20). No procedure-related deaths or major complications were observed.

Most of the studies previously published studies demonstrated no ischemia or pain after the intervention resulting from procedures performed with coils alone (11–19). SRAE with small PVA particles (range, 300–500  $\mu$ m) caused no pain in a previous study (15). A study on SRAE with trisacryl gelatin microspheres (Embosphere, 300–500  $\mu$ m) plus coils revealed mild pain in 15% of patients (14). SRAE with only tris-acryl gelatin microspheres (300–500  $\mu$ m) revealed 81% anal pain after the embolization (20), similar to the results of the current study, except for the 900–1,200  $\mu$ m group, in which no pain was observed.

In this study, tenesmus after the intervention, which persisted for up to 5 days, was observed in 50%-87.5% of the patients. Previous studies using coils alone as embolization agents showed rates of tenesmus of ranging 0% to 34.8%persisting up to the third day (11–20).

No ischemic complications were previously reported using either coils or small particles for SRAE (11-20). Most of the previous trials did not require routine rectoscopic follow-ups after SRAE (11-20), which may account for the underreporting of this minor complication. Zakharchenko et al performed rectoscopic examinations at 1-day, 1-week, and 1-month intervals after the SRAE procedure and found no mucosal atrophy or dystrophy after embolization with histopathologic analysis (15). The current study revealed that when the particle size decreased, the rectal ulceration rate increased. Small rectosigmoid ulcers were likely due to either nontarget embolization or to anastomoses between the SRA branches and the sigmoidal artery at Sudeck's point (24). However, in previous reports, there was no mention of this minor complication (11-20). Small fibrotic scars around the treated zone were also detected with rectoscopy in the 500-700 µm group, and this was not shown in previous studies (11-20). These scars could represent healing of mucosal ulceration.

The clinical success rate of SRAE was between 63% and 97% in previous trials (11–20). In this study, a 93% clinical success rate was achieved. In the 3 patients with clinical failure, a unilateral dominant MRECA was observed, and only 1 side with the SRA branch was embolized. This may have allowed feeding of the CCR via the MRECA and collateral vessels. The relatively lower clinical success rates with only coil embolization may be explained by the proximal embolization of the SRA and collateral flow. Furthermore, using nonspherical PVA particles may cause heterogeneous obstruction and proximal embolization from clumping (25). After proximal embolization of the SRA, any preexistent or developing anastomoses may become prominent and continue to feed the CCR (15). More distal

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Lokman Hekim University from ClinicalKey.com by Elsevier on February 28, 2022. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2022. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

embolization proximal to the CCR is likely to result in the most clinical success without complications (15). Sun et al performed inferior rectal artery coil embolizations in addition to SRAE and obtained better results without any major complications (11). Moussa et al demonstrated that adding MRECA embolization in addition to SRAE using microspheres (Embospheres, 300–500  $\mu$ m) and coils resulted in improved clinical symptoms without any major complications in 2 patients who experienced clinical failure after SRAE with coil only embolization (19). More data and trials are needed to understand the anatomy of the rectal arteries more completely and to optimize treatment of hemorrhoids.

The rate of symptomatic relief in the current study was similar to that reported in previous studies (>85%) (11–19). The GPS did not change significantly between the time points and did not differ between the groups, which was different from the results of the study by Tradi et al (12).

A median recurrence rate of 30% was reported in the previous literature, which was different from the 0% recurrence rate reported in the current study (11,19). Previously reported higher rates could be related to proximal embolization and other technical issues.

This study has several limitations, which include the small sample sizes in all 3 groups. A follow-up period of >1 year could be more able to investigate durability, although most previous studies used this period as a cut-off value. Patients with variant anatomy, except for a single dominant MRECA, were not included in the study, and the rectal arteries other than the SRA were not embolized. There are very few data about the particles used in hemorrhoid embolization, and the 300–500  $\mu$ m microspheres used in most previous studies were not investigated in the current study. Future studies comparing the benefits of different embolization agents and different techniques are needed.

In conclusion, SRAE with tris-acryl gelatin microspheres for symptomatic HD is a safe and effective treatment. Immediate bleeding control was observed in the 500–700  $\mu$ m group, and the best bleeding control at 12 months, the lowest postprocedural pain, and the fewest minor ischemic complications were observed with the 900–1,200  $\mu$ m microspheres.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was conducted under supervision of the Committee of Scientific Research Projects at Eskisehir Osmangazi University (ESOGU BAP), Eskisehir, Turkey.

## REFERENCES

 Wald A, Bharucha AE, Cosman BC, Whitehead WE. ACG clinical guideline: management of benign anorectal disorders. Am J Gastroenterol 2014; 109:1141–1157; (Quiz) 1058.

- Davis BR, Lee-Kong SA, Migaly J, Feingold DL, Steele SR. The American Society of Colon and Rectal Surgeons Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Hemorrhoids. Dis Colon Rectum 2018; 61:284–292.
- Rubbini M, Ascanelli S. Classification and guidelines of hemorrhoidal disease: present and future. World J Gastrointest Surg 2019; 11:117–1121.
- Higuero T, Abramowitz L, Castinel A, et al. Guidelines for the treatment of hemorrhoids (short report). J Visc Surg 2016; 153:213–218.
- Sandler RS, Peery AF. Rethinking what we know about hemorrhoids. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 17:8–15.
- van Tol RR, van Zwietering E, Kleijnen J, et al. Towards a core outcome set for hemorrhoidal disease-a systematic review of outcomes reported in literature. Int J Colorectal Dis 2018; 33:849–856.
- Margetis N. Pathophysiology of internal hemorrhoids. Ann Gastroenterol 2019; 32:264–272.
- Xu L, Chen H, Gu Y. Stapled hemorrhoidectomy versus transanal hemorrhoidal dearterialization in the treatment of hemorrhoids: an updated meta-analysis. Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech 2019; 29:75–81.
- Ng KS, Holzgang M, Young C. Still a case of "No Pain, No Gain"? An updated and critical review of the pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management options for hemorrhoids in 2020. Ann Coloproctol 2020; 36: 133–147.
- Aigner F, Bodner G, Gruber H, et al. The vascular nature of hemorrhoids. J Gastrointest Surg 2006; 10:1044–1050.
- Sun X, Xu J, Zhang J, Jin Y, Chen Q. Management of rectal bleeding due to internal haemorrhoids with arterial embolization: a single-center experience and protocol. Clin Radiol 2018; 73:985.e1–985.e6.
- Tradi F, Louis G, Giorgi R, et al. Embolization of the superior rectal arteries for hemorrhoidal disease: prospective results in 25 patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2018; 29:884–892.e1.
- Venturini M, De Nardi P, Marra P, et al. Embolization of superior rectal arteries for transfusion-dependent haemorrhoidal bleeding in severely cardiopathic patients: a new field of application of the "emborrhoid" technique. Tech Coloproctol 2018; 22:453–455.
- Moussa N, Sielezneff I, Sapoval M, et al. Embolization of the superior rectal arteries for chronic bleeding due to haemorrhoidal disease. Colorectal Dis 2017; 19:194–199.
- Zakharchenko A, Kaitoukov Y, Vinnik Y, et al. Safety and efficacy of superior rectal artery embolization with particles and metallic coils for the treatment of hemorrhoids (emborrhoid technique). Diagn Interv Imaging 2016; 97:1079–1084.
- Vidal V, Sapoval M, Sielezneff Y, et al. Emborrhoid: a new concept for the treatment of hemorrhoids with arterial embolization: the first 14 cases. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2015; 38:72–78.
- Moggia E, Talamo G, Gallo G, et al. Do we have another option to treat bleeding hemorrhoids? The emborrhoid technique: experience in 16 patients. Rev Recent Clin Trials 2021; 16:81–86.
- Park S, Kim Y, Shin JH, et al. Outcome of rectal arterial embolization for rectal bleeding in 34 patients: a single-center retrospective study over 20 Years. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2020; 31:576–583.
- Moussa N, Bonnet B, Pereira H, et al. Mid-term results of superior rectal artery and coils for hemorrhoidal embolization with particles bleeding. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2020; 43:1062–1069.
- Abd El Tawab KA, Abdo Salem AM, Khafagy RT. New technique of embolization of the hemorrhoidal arteries using embolization particles alone: retrospective results in 33 patients. Arab J Intervent Radiol 2020; 4: 27–31.
- Julious SA. Sample size of 12 per group rule of thumb for a pilot study. Pharmaceut. Statist 2005; 4:287–291.
- Ratto C, Campenni P, Papeo F, Donisi L, Litta F, Parello A. Transanal hemorrhoidal dearterialization (THD) for hemorrhoidal disease: a singlecenter study on 1,000 consecutive cases and a review of the literature. Tech Coloproctol 2017; 21:953–962.
- Sacks D, McClenny TE, Cardella JF, Lewis CA. Society of Interventional Radiology clinical practice guidelines. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2003; 14: S199–S202.
- van Tonder JJ, Boon JM, Becker JH, van Schoor AN. Anatomical considerations on Sudeck's critical point and its relevance to colorectal surgery. Clin Anat 2007; 20:424–427.
- Laurent A. Microspheres and nonspherical particles for embolization. Tech Vasc Interv Radiol 2007; 10:248–256.